

Phytoremediation to remove nutrients and improve eutrophic stormwaters using water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes* L.)

Qin Lu · Zhenli L. He · Donald A. Graetz · Peter J. Stoffella · Xiaoe Yang

Received: 10 September 2008 / Accepted: 25 November 2008
© Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract

Background, aim, and scope Water quality impairment by nutrient enrichment from agricultural activities has been a concern worldwide. Phytoremediation technology using aquatic plants in constructed wetlands and stormwater detention ponds is increasingly applied to remediate eutrophic waters. The objectives of this study were to evaluate the effectiveness and potential of water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes* L.) in removing nutrients including nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) from stormwater in the constructed water detention systems before it is discharged into the St. Lucie Estuary, an important surface water system in Florida, using phytoremediation technologies.

Materials and methods In this study, water lettuce (*P. stratiotes*) was planted in the treatment plots of two stormwater detention ponds (East and West Ponds) in 2005–2007 and water samples from both treatment and control plots were weekly collected and analyzed for water quality properties including pH, electrical conductivity, turbidity, suspended solids, and nutrients (N and P). Optimum plant density was maintained and plant samples were collected monthly and analyzed for nutrient contents. **Results** Water quality in both ponds was improved, as evidenced by decreases in water turbidity, suspended solids, and nutrient concentrations. Water turbidity was decreased by more than 60%. Inorganic N (NH_4^+ and NO_3^-) concentrations in treatment plots were more than 50% lower than those in control plots (without plant). Reductions in both PO_4^{3-} and total P were approximately 14–31%, as compared to the control plots. Water lettuce contained average N and P concentrations of 17 and 3.0 g kg^{-1} , respectively, and removed 190–329 kg N ha^{-1} and 25–34 kg P ha^{-1} annually.

Discussion Many aquatic plants have been used to remove nutrients from eutrophic waters but water lettuce proved superior to most other plants in nutrient removal efficiency, owing to its rapid growth and high biomass yield potential. However, the growth and nutrient removal potential are affected by many factors such as temperature, water salinity, and physiological limitations of the plant. Low temperature, high concentration of salts, and low concentration of nutrients may reduce the performance of this plant in removing nutrients.

Conclusions The results from this study indicate that water lettuce has a great potential in removing N and P from eutrophic stormwaters and improving other water quality properties.

Responsible editor: Lee Young

Q. Lu · Z. L. He (✉) · P. J. Stoffella
Indian River Research and Education Center,
Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences,
University of Florida,
2199 S Rock Road,
Fort Pierce, FL 34945, USA
e-mail: zhe@ufl.edu

D. A. Graetz
Soil and Water Science Department, University of Florida,
210 Newell Hall, PO Box 110510, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA


X. Yang
Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Environmental
Remediation and Ecological Health,
College of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences,
Zhejiang University,
Huajiachi Campus,
310029 Hangzhou, People's Republic of China

1 Background, aim, and scope

Chemical fertilizers have been playing a very important role in agricultural production in the modern society. Because of crops' quick response to chemical fertilizers, to many farmers, fertilizer application seems to be the only guarantee of high crop yield. But the ever increasing use of fertilizer results in significant buildup of nutrients, such as N and P, in the soils (Smith et al. 2007). When the soils are saturated, these nutrients are subjected to losses by leaching and surface runoff. Water quality is impaired and water availability is reduced because of accelerated eutrophication (Carpenter et al. 1998).

Water quality throughout south Florida has been a major concern for many years. Nutrient enrichment has been considered to impact ecological functions of the Everglades National Park, Lake Okeechobee, and Indian River Lagoon (Capece et al. 2007; Ritter et al. 2007). Various water quality problems affect the Indian River Lagoon (IRL), most of which are associated with the development of an intricate network of the canals that drain the surrounding urban and agricultural lands. Canals C-23, C-24, and C-44 in the St. Lucie Basin, which are connected to the IRL, are estimated to collectively deliver at least 8.6×10^5 kg of N, 9.1×10^5 kg of P, and 3.6×10^8 kg of suspended solids (SS) to the estuary annually (Graves and Strom 1992). Overall

Fig. 1 Suspended solids in control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds




IRL total N load is projected (year 2010) to increase by 32% (Woodward-Clyde Consultants 1994).

The St. Lucie Estuary is facing challenges of eutrophication due to increased inputs of nutrients, especially N and P from nonpoint sources. Results from a recent monitoring study by He et al. (2006) indicate that more than 50% of the surface runoff water samples contained a total N of 1 to 5 mg l⁻¹ and total P above 1.0 mg l⁻¹. Mean concentrations of total N and total P in the runoff were 4.1 and 1.6 mg l⁻¹, respectively, which are much greater than the USEPA critical levels for surface waters (1.5 mg l⁻¹ for total N and 0.1 mg l⁻¹ for total P) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1976).

Best management practices have been used to reduce N and P export from urban areas and agricultural fields, approximately 10–15% reduction may be realized based on our previous BMPs project (He et al. 2005). This reduction is still far below the goals (30–70% reduction in N and P) established in the Surface Water Improvement and Management Plan (SWIM plan) (SFWMD and SJRWMD 1994) for the St. Lucie Estuary watershed. The stormwater needs to be further cleaned before it is dischargeable to the St. Lucie Estuary.

Physical and chemical treatments to remediate eutrophication in waters are not cost effective, less flexible in terms of design modifications, and are targeted primarily to remove BOD and, to a lesser extent, to reduce N and P

Fig. 2 Water turbidity in control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds




levels. Phytoremediation has been increasingly used to clean up contaminated soil and water systems because of its lower costs and fewer negative effects than physical or chemical engineering approaches (Gumbrecht 1993; Kowalik et al. 1998; Mahujcharyawong and Ikeda 2001). The principles of phytoremediation systems for cleaning up stormwater include: (a) identification and implementation of efficient aquatic plant systems; (b) uptake of dissolved nutrients including N, P, and metals by the growing plant; and (c) harvest and beneficial use of the plant biomass produced from the remediation system.

Large constructed wetlands or stormwater treatment areas have been operating since the early 1990s to filter nutrients in eutrophic stormwater from Everglades Agricultural Area

before they are drained into a water conservation area in the Everglades National Park. Similar wetland systems are also under construction in the Indian River area to reduce nutrients (N and P) before the stormwater from the agricultural areas is discharged into the IRL. Key to the performance of wetlands in reducing nutrient and metal loads is the establishment and sustainability of desired vegetation communities.

In many cases, especially in tropical or subtropical areas, invasive plants such as the water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*P. stratiotes* L.) are used in these phytoremediation water systems (Karpiscak et al. 1994; El-Gendy et al. 2005). This is because, compared to native plants, these invasive plants show a much higher nutrient removal efficiency with their high nutrient uptake

Fig. 3 Water EC in control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds



capacity, fast growth rate, and big biomass production (Reddy and Sutton 1984). In the active growth season, for instance, water hyacinth plants can double in number and biomass in 6 to 15 days (Lindsey and Hirt 1999). Thus, one of the large-leaved floating invasive plants, water lettuce was chosen in this study. And the primary objectives of this study were to evaluate the effectiveness of water lettuce (*P. stratiotes* L.) in removing nutrients, including N and P, from stormwater in the constructed water detention systems before it is discharged into the St. Lucie Estuary using phytoremediation technologies and to quantify the potential


of this plant in improving stormwater quality in detention pond systems.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental design

Two detention ponds (East and West Ponds) in the St. Lucie Estuary watershed, each with a control and a treatment plot, were selected. Water lettuce (*P. stratiotes*) was grown in the

Fig. 4 Water pH in control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds



treatment plots, while no plant was maintained in the control plots.

Water samples were collected weekly from the control and the treatment plots and analyzed for water quality parameters, including total N and P, NO₃-N, NH₄-N, ortho-P, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), suspended solids, and turbidity.

Water lettuce was sampled monthly from the treatment plots. After being rinsed thoroughly with D.I. water and blotted dry, root and shoot were separated and their fresh weights were recorded. Plant parts were oven dried at 70°C for 3 days and ground to <1 mm using a stainless ball mill prior to analysis for total N and P.

Besides monthly sampling, plants were also periodically harvested to maintain an optimum plant density. For each harvest, the total fresh weight of the lettuce plant was recorded, plant moisture was determined, and total quantity of dry plant biomass yield was calculated for each plot. Harvested plant materials were applied to the field as organic amendments. Total amounts of N and P removed from the water by the harvested plant were quantified by multiplying the amounts of plant biomass by the concentrations of N and P in the plant.

2.2 Chemical analysis

Prior to filtration, pH and EC of the water samples were determined using a pH/ion/conductivity meter (pH/Conductivity Meter, Model 220, Denver Instrument, Denver, CO, USA) following EPA method 150.1 and 120.1, respectively. Turbidity of water samples was measured using a turbidity meter (DRT-100B, HF Scientific Inc., Fort Myers, FL, USA). Total P in the unfiltered water sample was determined by the molybdenum-blue method after digestion with acidified ammonium persulfate (EPA method 365.1). Sub-samples of the water were filtered through Whatman 42 filter paper. Portions of the sub-samples were filtered further through a 0.45-µm membrane for measuring total dissolved P and PO₄-P. Concentrations of NO₃-N and PO₄-P were measured within 24 h after sample collection using an ion chromatograph (DX 500; Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) following EPA method 300. The concentrations of NH₄-N and total Kjeldahl N (TKN) in the water sample were measured using a discrete autoanalyzer (EasyChem, Systea Scientific Inc., Italy) following EPA method 353.2. Total N in the water samples was calculated as the sum of TKN and NO₃-N. Total dissolved P in water was determined using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, Ultima, JY Horiba Inc. Edison, NJ, USA) following EPA method 200.7.

Plant N content was determined using a CN analyzer (vario Max CN, Elemental Analysensystem GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Sub-samples (each 0.400 g) of plant material were digested with 5 ml of concentrated HNO₃ in a digestion tube using a block digestion system (AIM 500-C,

Table 1 Water quality improvement in treatment plots of East and West Ponds

Location	Treatment	Time period	pH	EC (µS cm ⁻¹)	Turbidity (NTU)	Solid (g l ⁻¹)	Total P (mg l ⁻¹)	PO ₄ ³⁻ -P (mg l ⁻¹)	Total N (mg l ⁻¹)	NO ₃ ⁻ -N (mg l ⁻¹)	NH ₄ ⁺ -N (mg l ⁻¹)
East Pond	Control	8/22/2005–8/3/2007	7.46	674.3	24.61	0.42	0.63	0.29	1.77	0.06	0.25
	Remediation	8/22/2005–8/3/2007	6.96	604.6	8.48	0.37	0.49	0.25	1.62	0.03	0.09
West Pond		Reduction (%)	6.68	10.34	65.54	11.21	22.39	14.38	8.41	51.34	62.00
	Control	8/22/2005–8/3/2007	7.58	229.3	26.34	0.24	0.77	0.66	1.59	0.18	0.51
	Remediation	8/22/2005–8/3/2007	6.87	220.0	9.66	0.22	0.53	0.51	1.08	0.07	0.23
		Reduction (%)	9.37	4.05	63.30	10.44	31.08	22.84	32.11	62.79	54.03

A.I. Scientific Inc., Australia), and P concentration in the digester was determined using the ICP-AES.


3 Results and discussion

3.1 General water quality improvement

Total suspended solids, turbidity, EC, and pH in the waters of both plots changed seasonally; increasing during the rainy season of May to November and decreasing during the dry season of November to May with values in the rainy season several times, or up to three units of pH higher than for those in the dry season (Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 4). The

increase in these parameters during the rainy season was likely to be due to the large input of stormwaters, which bring soil particles and solutes, including nutrients. The growth of water lettuce improved water quality. Total suspended solids in the water column were decreased by an average of approximately 10% in the treatment plots as compared with those in the control plots (see Fig. 1 and Table 1) due to sedimentation in a more favorable environment provided by the plants (Brix 1997). On average, water turbidity was reduced by 65.5% and 63.3% in treatment plots as compared with the controls in East and West Ponds, respectively, in the period from August 2005 to August 2007 (see Fig. 2 and Table 1). Water lettuce growth decreased water EC in both ponds (see Fig. 3), due

Fig. 5 Inorganic N in the waters of control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds




to salt removal from the waters by plant uptake or root adsorption. In a similar trend, water lettuce growth decreased water pH (see Fig. 4), which was not expected for it is well known that water pH rises with plant photosynthesis. One explanation is that nearly complete coverage of the water surface by the floating lettuce effectively blocked out sunlight for the growth of other plants (such as submerged plants and algae) which carry out photosynthesis in the water and contribute to the pH rise. On the contrary, some algae might grow in the control plot due to higher N and P concentrations and thus caused a pH increase. It is also well known that oxygen oversaturation happens concurrently with pH rise, but dissolved oxygen

(DO) monitoring results did not show an oxygen oversaturation scenario in the water during the day with DO concentration <1.5 and 0.7 mg/l in East and West Ponds, respectively. Thus, pH decrease here was probably due to reduced or eliminated growth of algae or other submerged vegetation by the floating plants.

3.2 N and P concentration reduction

Changes of inorganic N ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ plus $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$), total N, PO_4^{3-} , and total P concentrations in water for the period from August 2005 to August 2007 are shown in Figs. 5, 6, 7, and 8. Like total suspended solids and water turbidity,

Fig. 6 Total N in the waters of control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds




nutrient concentrations in the waters showed seasonal changes during the year, which were affected by external input from stormwaters during the rainy season.

Although there are many reports showing that aquatic plants, such as *Salvinia molesta* and *Elodea densa*, preferred $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ to $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (Reddy et al. 1987; Shimada et al. 1988) and theoretically NH_4^+ uptake is energetically more efficient than that of NO_3^- , there were no differences in concentration reductions between $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in both ponds with reduction rates of approximately 50–60% (see Table 1). Besides plant uptake, denitrification may also contribute to the decreased $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration in the treatment plots as a more anaerobic condition (dissolved oxygen <1.5 and 0.7 mg/l in East and West Ponds, respectively) was created

by the growing plants at the water's surface and other anaerobic micro-sites (Gumbrecht 1993; Reddy 1983).

Inorganic P (PO_4^{3-}) removal (14% and 23% in East and West Ponds, respectively) was not as efficient as inorganic N ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}+\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) in both remediation systems (see Table 1), which was also the case in Sheffield's research with a reduction rate of 40–55% in ortho-P compared to a reduction rate of 94% in inorganic N in a water hyacinth system (Sheffield 1967). Total P had a higher reduction than inorganic P (see Table 1), which indicates that the role aquatic plants play in such a remediation system is far more than uptake. Instead, nutrient uptake is only of quantitative importance in low-loaded systems (surface flow systems). More importantly, the aquatic plants play a crucial role by

Fig. 7 Water PO_4^{3-} in control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds



creating a favorable environment for a variety of complex chemical, biological, and physical processes that contribute to the removal and degradation of nutrients, which was thought by Brix (1997) to be the most important functions of aquatic plants. A higher removal rate in total P than in dissolved total P can come from the additional sedimentation effect on particulate P.


3.3 Plant N and P removal potential

Total N and P concentrations in the plant were approximately 17 and 3 g kg⁻¹, respectively, with minimal differences between root and shoot (Figs. 9 and 10). Nitrogen and P content typically average 15–40 g N and 4–10 g P kg⁻¹ for

such large-leaved floating plants as water lettuce and water hyacinth (*E. crassipes*) (Aoi and Hayashi 1996).

Annual removal of N and P by plants were 190 and 24.6 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, in East Pond and 329 and 34.1 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, in West Pond, with dry matter being approximately 9 Mg ha⁻¹ (East Pond) and 15 Mg ha⁻¹ (West Pond). Much research has been performed on another invasive, large-leaf floating aquatic plant, water hyacinths (*E. crassipes*). Very high uptake rates have been reported in this research, for instance, 1,980 kg N and 322 kg P ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ by Boyd (1970), 2,500 kg N and 700 kg P ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ by Rogers and Davis (1972), and up to 5,350 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and 1,260 kg P ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ by Reddy and Tucker (1983). The reasons behind this big difference in nutrient uptake rate

Fig. 8 Total P in the waters of control and treatment plots of East and West Ponds




between our research and the above research can be: (1) it is known that water hyacinth has a higher nutrient uptake and biomass yield potential than water lettuce; (2) this research was done using nutrient medium whose nutrient contents were much higher than that in the stormwater retention ponds; and (3) these high reported values were based on short-term experiments and extrapolated to 1 year, which often overestimates the nutrient uptake rate of the plant. On the other hand, the low nutrient uptake values from this research also indicated that the water lettuce was far from

reaching their maximum nutrient uptake potential in these stormwater retention ponds.

3.4 Physiological limits

Plant growth is influenced by many environmental factors such as solar radiation, rainfall, and temperature, so is nutrient removal efficiency, as reflected in both nutrient concentrations in the plant (see Figs. 9 and 10) and plant yield of water lettuce (data not shown), showed strong seasonal dependence.

Fig. 9 Nitrogen contents in plant roots and shoots from East and West Ponds



This seasonal variability in plant growth and nutrient removal capacity was also discussed by Reddy and Sutton (1984).

West Pond worked better than East Pond in removing total N and total P from the waters (see Table 1), which could be related to the differences in total organic carbon (NPOC, averages of 30 and 12 mg l⁻¹ in East and West Ponds, respectively) and EC of waters (180–2,000 and 100–400 μS cm⁻¹ in East and West Ponds, respectively; see Fig. 3) between these two ponds. It was reported that an EC of 2,683 μS cm⁻¹ was toxic to water lettuce (Haller et al. 1974). High EC in East Pond negatively affected water


lettuce's growth, leading to low efficiency in nutrient removal from the water.

Water lettuce is an invasive species, which means that it grows very well in nutrient-rich waters, but may not work well to our purpose in low nutrient waters.

4 Conclusions

Phytoremediation can be an important approach for cleaning eutrophicated stormwaters from agriculture and urban areas

Fig. 10 Phosphorus contents in plant roots and shoots from East and West Ponds



via man-made wetlands such as STAs and water detention/retention systems. Water lettuce has a great potential for removing N and P, reducing water suspended solids and turbidity from stormwaters, and improving water quality.

5 Recommendations and perspectives

For efficient water purification, grown-up biomass of aquatic macrophytes must be removed from water bodies to keep an optimum plant density. If not harvested, the vast majority of the nutrients that have been incorporated into the plant tissue will be returned to the water by decomposition processes (Brix 1997). Harvested plant biomass can be used as soil amendment or processed into livestock feed.

As water lettuce is an invasive species, it is important that the plant be strictly confined in the remediation system so that we can make full use of its nutrient scavenging ability without bringing unnecessary damage to the ecosystem.

More studies on how a variety of aquatic plants perform in different waters (with different nutrient ranges, pH, EC, or OC) under different environments (temperature, solar radiation, etc.) are needed for applying the right plant to the right water to achieve a maximum purification of the water.

Acknowledgment The authors thank Mr. Diangao Zhang for his assistance in water sampling and processing, and thank Drs. G.C. Chen, J.Y. Yang, Y.G. Yang, and W.R. Chen, Mr. D. Banks and Mr. B. Pereira, and Miss J.H. Fan for their help in lab analysis. This project was in part supported by a grant (contract# 4600000498) from South Florida Water Management District.

References

Aoi T, Hayashi T (1996) Nutrient removal by water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*). *Water Sci Tech* 34(7/8):407–412

Boyd CE (1970) Vascular aquatic plants for mineral nutrient removal from polluted waters. *Econ Bot* 23:95–103

Brix H (1997) Do macrophytes play a role in constructed treatment wetlands. *Water Sci Tech* 35(5):11–17

Capece JC, Campbell KL, Bohlen PJ, Graetz DA, Portier KM (2007) Soil phosphorus, cattle stocking rates, and water quality in subtropical pastures in Florida, USA. *Range Ecol Manage* 60(1):19–30

Carpenter SR, Caraco NF, Correll DL, Howarth RW, Sharpley AN, Smith VH (1998) Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecol Appl* 8:559–568

El-Gendy AS, Biswas N, Bewtra JK (2005) A floating aquatic system employing water hyacinth for municipal landfill leachate treatment: effect of leachate characteristics on the plant growth. *J Environ Eng Sci* 4(4):227–240

Graves GA, Strom DA (eds) (1992) Bessey Creek and the greater St. Lucie Estuary. Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Southeast District, West Palm Beach, FL

Gumbrecht T (1993) Nutrient removal processes in freshwater submersed macrophyte systems. *Ecol Eng* 2:1–30

Haller WT, Sutton DL, Barlowe WC (1974) Effects of salinity on growth of several aquatic macrophytes. *Ecology* 55(4):891–894

He ZL, Stoffella PJ, Yang XE, Yu S, Chen G, Banks DJ, Yang YG, Yang JY, Calvert DV (2005) Assessment and evaluation of nitrogen, phosphorus, and heavy metals in surface runoff from citrus groves and vegetable fields in the Indian River area. Project Final Report, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, FL

He ZL, Zhang MK, Stoffella PJ, Yang XE, Banks DJ (2006) Phosphorus concentrations and loads in runoff water under crop production. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 70:1807–1816

Karpiscak MM, Foster KE, Hopf SB, Bancroft JM, Warshall PJ (1994) Using water hyacinth to treat municipal wastewater in the desert southwest. *Water Resour Bull* 30:219–227

Kowalik P, Toczyłowska I, Scalenghe R, Boero V, Ambrosoli R, Zanini E, Vola G, Edwards AC (1998) Phytoremediation by constructed wetlands: assessment of biopedological techniques for resource-efficient farming with livestock. *Acta Horticulturae* 457:187–194

Lindsey K, Hirt HM (1999) Use water hyacinth! (A practical handbook of uses for the water hyacinth from across the world). Anamed, Winnenden

Mahujcharyawong J, Ikeda S (2001) Modelling of environmental phytoremediation in eutrophic river—the case of water hyacinth harvest in Tha-Chin River, Thailand. *Ecol Model* 142(1/2):121–134

Reddy KR (1983) Fate of nitrogen and phosphorus in a waste-water retention reservoir containing aquatic macrophytes. *J Environ Qual* 12(1):137–141

Reddy KR, Sutton DL (1984) Waterhyacinths for water quality improvement and biomass production. *J Environ Qual* 13(1):1–9

Reddy KR, Tucker JC (1983) Productivity and nutrient uptake of water hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes* L. effect of nitrogen source. *Econ Bot* 37(2):237–247

Reddy KR, Tucker JC, Debusk WF (1987) The role of *Egeria* in removing nitrogen and phosphorus from nutrient enriched waters. *J Aquat Plant Manage* 25:14–19

Ritter A, Munoz Carpena R, Bosch DD, Schaffer B, Potter TL (2007) Agricultural land use and hydrology affect variability of shallow groundwater nitrate concentration in south Florida. *Hydrol Process* 21(18):2464–2473

Rogers HH, Davis DE (1972) Nutrient removal by waterhyacinth. *Weed Sci* 20(5):423–428

Sheffield CW (1967) Water hyacinth for nutrient removal. *Hyacinth Control J* 6:27–30

Shimada N, Yajima S, Watanabe Y (1988) Improvement of water quality using *Salvinia molesta* (L). Absorption of nitrogen and phosphorus by *Salvinia molesta*. Technical Bulletin, Faculty of Horticulture, Chiba University, pp 15–21

Smith DR, Owens PR, Leytem AB, Warnemuende EA (2007) Nutrient losses from manure and fertilizer applications as impacted by time to first runoff event. *Environ Pollut* 147:131–137

SFWMD (South Florida Water Management District), SJRWMD (St. Johns River Water Management District) (1994) Indian River Lagoon surface water improvement and management (SWIM) plan

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (1976) Quality criteria for water. USEPA Rep 440:19–76–023

Woodward-Clyde Consultants (1994) Loading assessment of the Indian River Lagoon. Final report to Indian River Lagoon National Estuary Program. Melbourne, FL